

THE STANDARD REVIEW FORM

1. The title of the article.

2. Conformity evaluation of the article (materials) to the topics of the Collection or its sections – (in full conformity, does not conform). Remarks and recommendations with respect to it are welcome.

3. Actuality of the theme and the problem (highly actual, quite ordinary, not actual), arguments and comments.

4. Bibliography in accordance with the requirements of GOST 7.05-2008 "Literature references. General requirements and recommendations to making the list of literature citation" (in accordance with Supreme Certifying Committee of the Russian Federation criteria for Journals included in the list of the leading scientific issues). The number of sources references to be cited must be at least 12. There may be encouraged references to publications after 2000, including foreign sources.

NOTE: the article which does not meet 1...4 requirements is not presented for further peer-review process and is not recommended for publishing.

5. Evaluation of the paper structure and the integrity of its layout (descriptive, analytical, conceptual; causing discussion, ambiguous; conclusions and adequacy of conclusions as to the content of the article)

6. The grounds of methodology and argumentation, validity of the main statements and conclusions, the results of experimental research and their adequacy to the theoretical findings, factual and informative value of the content.

7. Degree of scientific novelty (a new approach, a new object, a new vision of the known problem, new solution method, new application of known methods and approaches, new research results, obtained results not satisfying the criterion of novelty, a clear formulation of scientific novelty).

8. Assess the contribution of the research to the subject area. The practical significance of the research results obtained

9. The integrity of the text structure and its layout (logical, satisfactory, needs stylistic revision).

10. Other remarks and recommendations.

11. General conclusion and final evaluation of the article under review (recommended for publication, declined, accepted with revision and making necessary amendments).

Peer-reviewer: full name, degree, title, position, organization.

Contacts: e-mail, telephone number.

Signature, date, certification, stamp.